

**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549**

FORM 10-Q

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15 (d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 FOR THE QUARTERLY PERIOD ENDED JUNE 30, 2010.

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OF 15 (d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 FOR THE TRANSITION PERIOD FROM _____ TO _____.

REVETT MINERALS INC.

(Exact name of small business issuer in its charter)

Canada
(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation)

Not Applicable
(IRS Employer Identification No.)

11115 East Montgomery, Suite G
Spokane Valley, Washington 99206
(Address of principal executive offices)

Registrant's telephone number: (509) 921-2294

Indicate by check mark whether registrant has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15 (d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for a shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. **yes** **no**

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of "accelerated filer and large filer" in rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check One)

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer **Non-accelerated filer**

Indicate by check whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. **yes** **No**

At August 16, 2010, **131,549,195** shares of common stock were issued and outstanding.

Revett Minerals Inc.
Form 10-Q
QUARTER ENDED June 30, 2010

INDEX

PAGE

PART I – FINANCIAL INFORMATION

ITEM 1.	FINANCIAL STATEMENTS.....	4
ITEM 2.	MANAGEMENT’S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS.....	23
ITEM 3.	QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK AND HEDGING ACTIVITIES.....	36
ITEM 4.	CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES.....	37

PART II – OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1.	LEGAL PROCEEDINGS.....	38
ITEM 1A.	RISK FACTORS.....	42
ITEM 2.	UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS.....	42
ITEM 3.	DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES.....	42
ITEM 4.	SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS.....	42
ITEM 5.	OTHER INFORMATION.....	42
ITEM 6.	EXHIBITS.....	42
SIGNATURES.....		43

Part I – Financial Information

Item 1. Financial Statements (unaudited)

Revett Minerals Inc. and Subsidiaries

Contents

	<u>Page</u>
<i>FINANCIAL STATEMENTS:</i>	
<i>Consolidated balance sheets</i>	4
<i>Consolidated statements of operations</i>	5
<i>Consolidated statements of cash flows</i>	6
<i>Consolidated statements of shareholders' equity</i>	7
<i>Notes to consolidated financial statements</i>	8-22

Revett Minerals Inc.
Consolidated Balance Sheets
at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009
(expressed in thousands of United States dollars)

	June 30, 2010 (unaudited)	December 31, 2009
Assets		
Current Assets		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 3,150	\$ 2,622
Concentrate settlement and other receivable	1,802	1,237
Inventories (note 3)	3,488	3,630
Prepaid expenses and deposits	536	290
Total current assets	8,976	7,779
Property, plant, and equipment (net) (note 4)	53,724	52,319
Restricted cash	6,649	6,633
Other long term assets	881	969
Total assets	\$ 70,230	\$ 67,700
Liabilities and shareholders' equity		
Current liabilities		
Trade accounts payable	\$ 4,687	\$ 3,251
Payroll liabilities	984	965
Income, property and mining taxes	1,139	1,409
Other accrued liabilities	276	753
Current portion of long term debt (note 5)	1,609	1,603
Total current liabilities	8,695	7,981
Long-term portion of debt (note 5)	1,665	2,572
Reclamation and remediation liability (note 7 (a))	8,513	8,166
Total liabilities	18,873	18,719
Shareholders' equity		
Preferred stock, no par value, unlimited authorized, nil issued and outstanding		
Common stock, no par value unlimited authorized, 131,549,195 (2009- 125,978,614) shares issued and outstanding (note 6(a))	62,653	60,988
Contributed surplus	4,264	3,450
Deficit	(15,560)	(15,457)
Total shareholders' equity	51,357	48,981
Commitments and contingencies (note 7)		
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	\$ 70,230	\$ 67,700

See accompanying notes to interim consolidated financial statements.

Revelt Minerals Inc.
Consolidated Statements of Operations
Three and six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009
(expressed in thousands of United States dollars except share and per share amounts)
(unaudited)

	Three month period ended June 30, 2010	Three month period ended June 30, 2009	Six month period ended June 30, 2010	Six month period ended June 30, 2009
Revenues	\$ 9,322	\$ 8,590	\$ 21,449	\$ 16,930
Expenses:				
Cost of sales (note 3)	8,633	7,981	17,388	16,097
Depreciation and depletion	581	633	1,206	1,260
Exploration and development	178	76	273	149
General & administrative	639	746	2,073	1,456
Accretion of reclamation and remediation liability	173	165	347	319
	<u>10,204</u>	<u>9,601</u>	<u>21,287</u>	<u>19,281</u>
Income (loss) from operations	(882)	(1,011)	162	(2,351)
Other income (expenses):				
Interest expense	(122)	(72)	(247)	(179)
Interest and other income	22	6	49	45
Foreign exchange gain (loss)	(39)	20	(31)	(6)
Total other income (expenses)	<u>(139)</u>	<u>(46)</u>	<u>(229)</u>	<u>(140)</u>
Net loss before non-controlling interest and taxes	(1,021)	(1,057)	(67)	(2,491)
Income tax recovery (expense)	5	-	(36)	267
Net loss before non-controlling interest	(1,016)	(1,057)	(103)	(2,224)
Non-controlling interest	-	33	-	340
Net loss and comprehensive loss	<u>\$ (1,016)</u>	<u>\$ (1,024)</u>	<u>\$ (103)</u>	<u>\$ (1,884)</u>
Basic loss per share	<u>\$ (0.01)</u>	<u>\$ (0.01)</u>	<u>\$ (0.00)</u>	<u>\$ (0.02)</u>
Diluted loss per share	<u>\$ (0.01)</u>	<u>\$ (0.01)</u>	<u>\$ (0.00)</u>	<u>\$ (0.02)</u>
Weighted average number of shares outstanding	<u>131,395,679</u>	<u>108,360,363</u>	<u>130,717,453</u>	<u>94,299,858</u>
Weighted average number of diluted shares outstanding	<u>131,395,679</u>	<u>108,360,363</u>	<u>130,717,453</u>	<u>94,299,858</u>

See accompanying notes to interim consolidated financial statements.

Revett Minerals Inc.
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows
Three and six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009
(expressed in thousands of United States dollars)
(unaudited)

	Three month period ended June 30, 2010	Three month period ended June 30, 2009	Six month period ended June 30, 2010	Six month period ended June 30, 2009
Cash flows from operating activities:				
Net loss for the period	\$ (1,016)	\$ (1,024)	\$ (103)	\$ (1,884)
Adjustment to reconcile net income to net cash used by operating activities				
Depreciation and depletion	581	633	1,206	1,260
Accretion of reclamation and remediation liability	164	164	347	319
Accretion of debt	60	-	118	-
Unrealized foreign exchange loss (gain)	39	(20)	31	6
Stock based compensation	21	204	863	215
Loss (gain) on disposal of fixed assets	-	-	-	243
Future income tax expense (recovery)	-	-	-	(205)
Non controlling interest	-	(33)	-	(340)
Accrued interest from reclamation trust fund	(7)	(10)	(16)	(38)
Amortization of prepaid insurance premium	41	42	88	83
Change in fair value of derivative contracts	-	(24)	-	(679)
Gain on settlement of forward contracts	(734)	-	(734)	-
Changes in:				
Concentrate settlement and other receivables	837	435	(565)	(1,651)
Income taxes receivable	-	-	-	99
Inventories	163	(61)	142	330
Prepaid expenses and deposits	61	116	(246)	85
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	1,100	853	1,066	1,978
Net cash provided by operating activities	1,310	1,275	2,197	393
Cash flows from investing activities:				
Release of restricted cash	-	1,021	-	1,021
Purchase of plant and equipment	(1,709)	(148)	(2,568)	(437)
Net cash provided (used) by investing activities	(1,709)	873	(2,568)	584
Cash flows from financing activities:				
Proceeds from the issuance of common stock, net	31	280	1,257	844
Repayment of debt	(16)	-	(16)	-
Repayment of capital leases	(156)	(165)	(311)	(192)
Net cash provided (used) by financing activities	(141)	115	930	652
Effects of foreign exchange on cash held in foreign currencies	(39)	20	(31)	(6)
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	(579)	2,283	528	1,623
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	3,729	973	2,622	1,633
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$ 3,150	\$ 3,256	\$ 3,150	\$ 3,256
Supplementary cash flow information:				
Cash paid for interest expense	\$ 67	\$ 161	\$ 137	\$ 305
Non cash transactions:				
Common stock issued to acquire non-controlling interest	-	230	-	1,628
Debt repayment from settlement of forward contracts	734	-	734	-
Shares issued for compensation	-	-	359	-
Acquisition of plant and equipment under capital lease	-	-	44	1,082

See accompanying notes to interim consolidated financial statements.

Revett Minerals Inc.

Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity

Six months ended June 30, 2010 and the year ended December 31, 2009

(expressed in thousands of United States dollars)

(unaudited)

	Common Shares		Contributed Surplus	Deficit	Total
	Shares	Amount			
Balance, December 31, 2008	75,210,697	\$ 56,899	\$ 1,788	\$ (10,490)	\$ 48,197
Issued to acquire non controlling interest	25,583,487	2,388	-	-	2,388
Issue of shares for cash	3,855,558	279	-	-	279
Issue of shares and warrants on debt restructuring	8,333,333	1,000	621	-	1,621
Issue of shares for settlement of accounts payable	3,513,098	545	-	-	545
Issue of shares for exercise of warrants and options	87,500	12	(4)	-	8
Redemption of shares for royalty	(605,059)	(458)	417	-	(41)
Issue of units for cash	10,000,000	323	241	-	564
Stock-based compensation on options granted	-	-	387	-	387
Net loss for the period	-	-	-	(4,967)	(4,967)
Balance, December 31, 2009	<u>125,978,614</u>	<u>\$ 60,988</u>	<u>\$ 3,450</u>	<u>\$ (15,457)</u>	<u>\$ 48,981</u>
Issued shares	3,613,899	1,147	-	-	1,147
Issue of shares for exercise of options and warrants	1,122,500	159	(49)	-	110
Shares issued for compensation	834,182	359	-	-	359
Stock-based compensation on options granted	-	-	863	-	863
Net loss for the period	-	-	-	(103)	(103)
Balance, June 30, 2010	<u>131,549,195</u>	<u>\$ 62,653</u>	<u>\$ 4,264</u>	<u>(15,560)</u>	<u>\$ 51,357</u>

See accompanying notes to unaudited interim consolidated financial statements

1. Basis of Presentation and going concern

In the opinion of management, the accompanying unaudited interim consolidated balance sheet and consolidated statements of operations, cash flows, and shareholders' equity contain all adjustments necessary to present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Revett Minerals Inc. ("Revett Minerals" or the "Company") as of June 30, 2010, and the results of its operations and its cash flows for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2010 and 2009. The operating and financial results for Revett Minerals for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the year ended December 31, 2010.

These unaudited interim financial statements are prepared using the same accounting policies and methods of application as those disclosed in note 2 to the Company's audited annual financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2009 except that in 2010, the Company changed the presentation of transportation and refining costs. In the past, the transportation and refining cost were netted against revenues, whereas now the transportation and refining costs are included in cost of sales. The 2009 revenues and cost of sales have each been increased by \$1.0 million and \$2.2 million for the three months and six months ended June 30, 2010, respectively, compared to amounts previously reported to reflect this presentation change. These financial statements have been prepared in accordance with Canadian generally accepted accounting principles ("Canadian GAAP") for interim financial statements and accordingly, these interim financial statements do not include all the notes to the financial statements required in audited annual financial statements. These statements should be read in conjunction with the most recently completed audited annual financial statements and notes of the Company for the year ended December 31, 2009. Material differences between Canadian GAAP and generally accepted accounting principles in the United States ("US GAAP") are disclosed in note 9. All currency is reported in United States dollars unless otherwise specified.

At June 30, 2010, the Company had working capital of \$ 0.3 million and the Company had a loss for the six months ended June 30, 2010 of \$ 0.1 million. Declines in the price of copper and silver could result in a reduction in working capital and cash flows. At current copper and silver prices the Company generated a positive cash flow from operations. The Company is currently investigating a number of alternative means of raising additional capital with potential lenders and investors (see note 10). However, no assurance can be given that these efforts will prove to be successful.

The Company's continuing operations and the underlying value and recoverability of the mineral property, plant and equipment of the Troy mine and the Rock Creek property are dependent upon the existence of economically recoverable mineral reserves, the ability of the Company to profitably operate the Troy Mine, obtaining the necessary financing to complete exploration and development of the Rock Creek property, obtaining the necessary operating permits for the Rock Creek property and future profitable production or sufficient proceeds from the sale of the Rock Creek property.

These financial statements are prepared on a going concern basis. The financial statements do not reflect adjustments that would be necessary if the going concern assumption was not appropriate. If going concern basis was not appropriate for these financial statements, then adjustments would be necessary in the carrying value of assets and liabilities, the reported revenues and expenses, and the balance sheet classifications used.

Revett Minerals Inc.**Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements****For the Six and Three months ended June 30, 2010**

(Expressed in United States dollars, unless otherwise stated)

(Tabular amounts expressed in thousands of United States dollars, except share and per share amounts)

unaudited

2. Change in Accounting Policy

Canada's Accounting Standards Board has ratified a strategic plan that will result in Canadian GAAP, as used by publicly accountable enterprises, being fully converged with International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS) as issued by the International Accounting Standards Board over a transitional period. Full adoption of IFRS is required for all publically accountable enterprises for fiscal years beginning on or after January 1, 2011. The Company is currently planning to adopt accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (US GAAP) as permitted by Canadian Securities regulatory authorities for the fiscal year commencing January 1, 2011 and adopt IFRS if and when IFRS and US GAAP converge. Material differences between Canadian GAAP and US GAAP and their impact on the consolidated financial statements are disclosed in note 9.

3. Inventories

The major components of the Company's inventory accounts at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 are as follows:

	June 30, 2010 (unaudited)	Dec. 31, 2009
Concentrate inventory	\$ 616	\$ 885
Material and supplies	<u>2,872</u>	<u>2,745</u>
	<u>\$ 3,488</u>	<u>\$ 3,630</u>

Cost of goods sold for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 is comprised of the following:

Nature of expense	Three month period ended June 30, 2010 (unaudited)	Three month period ended June 30, 2009 (unaudited)	Six month period ended June 30, 2010 (unaudited)	Six month period ended June 30, 2009 (unaudited)
Raw materials and consumables used	\$ 3,036	\$ 2,769	\$ 6,293	\$ 5,299
Labor costs	3,136	2,673	6,254	5,279
Other costs	2,216	2,669	4,572	5,589
Net change in concentrate Inventories	<u>245</u>	<u>(130)</u>	<u>269</u>	<u>(70)</u>
	<u>\$ 8,633</u>	<u>\$ 7,981</u>	<u>\$ 17,388</u>	<u>\$ 16,097</u>

Revelt Minerals Inc.**Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements****For the Six and Three months ended June 30, 2010**

(Expressed in United States dollars, unless otherwise stated)

(Tabular amounts expressed in thousands of United States dollars, except share and per share amounts)

unaudited

4. Mineral Property, Plant, Equipment and Mine Development

The major components of the Company's mineral property, plant, and equipment accounts at June 30, 2010, and December 31, 2009 are as follows:

	June 30, 2010	Dec. 31, 2009
	(unaudited)	
TROY:		
Property acquisition and development costs	\$ 8,749	\$ 6,264
Plant and equipment	13,335	13,212
Buildings and structures	2,518	2,518
	<u>24,602</u>	<u>21,994</u>
ROCK CREEK:		
Property acquisition costs	34,822	34,822
OTHER, corporate	3,677	3,674
OTHER, mineral properties	118	118
	<u>63,219</u>	<u>60,608</u>
Accumulated depreciation and depletion:		
Troy Property acquisition and development costs	(4,052)	(3,665)
Troy plant and equipment	(4,487)	(3,812)
Troy buildings and structures	(864)	(724)
	<u>(9,403)</u>	<u>(8,201)</u>
Other corporate assets	(92)	(88)
	<u>(9,495)</u>	<u>(8,289)</u>
	<u>\$ 53,724</u>	<u>\$ 52,319</u>

5. Long-term debt

At June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, the balance of the Company's long term debt and capital lease obligations were as follows:

	June 30, 2010	Dec. 31, 2009
	(unaudited)	
Note payable	\$ 1,859	\$ 2,492
Capital leases	1,415	1,683
	<u>3,274</u>	<u>4,175</u>
Current portion	(1,609)	(1,603)
	<u>\$ 1,665</u>	<u>\$ 2,572</u>

On January 23, 2009, the Company entered into a Senior Floating Rate Note (the "Note") with an interest rate of LIBOR plus five percent with its primary concentrate sales customer. The Note requires principal payments of \$0.5 million on each June 30 and December 31 through December 31, 2011, and the remaining principal payment of \$0.9 million is due on June 30, 2012.

Revett Minerals Inc.**Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements****For the Six and Three months ended June 30, 2010**

(Expressed in United States dollars, unless otherwise stated)

(Tabular amounts expressed in thousands of United States dollars, except share and per share amounts)

unaudited

The Company is also required to make additional payments equal to the amount by which the Company's cash balance exceeds \$3.0 million at the end of each quarter to a maximum payment of \$0.5 million per quarter, commencing on March 31, 2010. For purposes of the additional payment requirement, cash balance is defined as total cash minus accounts payable and certain mine development expenses. As at June 30, 2010, no additional payments are required. The principal amount of the note of \$2.1 million has been reduced by unamortized financing costs of \$0.3 million at June 30, 2010 which resulted from the issue of warrants in connection with amendments made to the terms of the Note on August 24, 2009. The finance costs are being amortized over the remaining life of the note using the effective interest method. The Company has granted a first-priority mortgage on certain lands acquired for the Rock Creek project. If the lands are sold by the Company, the proceeds must be used to repay the note.

6. Share Capital(a) Common Stock

The Company has one class of no par value common stock of which an unlimited number are authorized for issue. The holders of common stock are entitled to receive dividends without restriction when and if declared by the board of directors. Holders of the Company's common stock are not entitled to preemptive rights to acquire additional shares of common stock and do not have cumulative voting rights. At June 30, 2010, the Company had 131,549,195 shares of common stock outstanding.

During the six months ended June 30, 2010, the Company issued 3,613,899 common shares for cash in the amount of \$1.1 million pursuant to a private placement; issued 834,182 common shares to settle accrued compensation to employees and directors in the amount of \$ 0.4 million; and issued 1,122,500 common shares on exercise of warrants and stock options for cash proceeds of \$0.1 million.

(b) Preferred Stock

The Company is authorized to issue an unlimited number of no par preferred stock. The Company's board of directors is authorized to create any series and, in connection with the creation of each series, to fix by resolution the number of shares of each series, and the designations, powers, preferences and rights; including liquidation, dividends, conversion and voting rights, as they may determine. At June 30, 2010, no preferred stock was issued or outstanding.

(c) Stock options

The Company's Equity Incentive Plan authorizes the Company to reserve and have available for issue, 18,000,000 shares of common stock. There were 2,755,000 stock options granted during the six months ended June 30, 2010 with a weighted average exercise price of Cdn \$0.43, expiring on March 30, 2015 and June 17, 2015. The Company used the Black-Scholes option pricing formula assuming a risk-free interest rate of 2.41%, volatility of 100% and an expected life of the options of 60 months to calculate the fair values of the options. The weighted average fair value per share was \$0.32. During the six months ended June 30, 2010, 1,510,000 options were cancelled or expired and 485,000 options were exercised.

Revett Minerals Inc.**Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements****For the Six and Three months ended June 30, 2010**

(Expressed in United States dollars, unless otherwise stated)

(Tabular amounts expressed in thousands of United States dollars, except share and per share amounts)
unaudited

As at June 30, 2010, the following stock options were outstanding:

Options Granted	Options Exercisable	Exercise Price (Cdn \$)	Expiry Date
75,000	75,000	0.55	July 19, 2010
750,000	750,000	0.15	May 1, 2011
40,000	40,000	1.25	May 12, 2011
50,000	50,000	0.33	June 30, 2011
60,000	60,000	0.43	September 30, 2011
20,000	20,000	1.25	September 15, 2011
845,000	845,000	1.10	October 4, 2011
25,000	25,000	1.45	December 4, 2011
450,000	450,000	1.11	January 12, 2012
40,000	40,000	1.15	March 5, 2012
30,000	30,000	0.60	April 29, 2013
200,000	200,000	0.095	March 31, 2014
2,335,000	2,335,000	0.105	March 31, 2014
610,000	610,000	0.09	April 27, 2014
825,000	825,000	0.09	September 10, 2014
100,000	100,000	0.21	November 2, 2014
180,000	180,000	0.33	December 30, 2014
2,595,000	2,595,000	0.437	March 30, 2015
100,000	100,000	0.29	June 17, 2015
9,330,000	9,330,000	0.37	

(d) Stock Purchase Warrants

The following stock purchase warrants were outstanding at June 30, 2010 for the purchase of common shares of Revett Minerals.

WARRANT SUMMARY TABLE

	<u>Number</u>	<u>Exercise price</u>	<u>Expiry</u>
Revett Minerals	3,054,659	\$ 1.00	October 7, 2010
Revett Minerals	6,825,000	\$ 0.10	February 12, 2011
Revett Minerals	300,000	Cdn \$0.50	October 20, 2011
Revett Minerals	<u>10,000,000</u>	\$ 0.20	June 30, 2012
	<u>20,179,659</u>		

During the six months ended June 30, 2010, 637,500 warrants were exercised.

7. Commitments and Contingencies

(a) Reclamation

In accordance with the operating permit granted the Troy Mine, the Montana Department of Environmental Quality is periodically required to review the ultimate bonding requirements for the mine. The bonding requirement at Troy totals \$12.9 million. The following table shows the changes in the reclamation liability for the six month period ended June 30, 2010.

	June 30, 2010	Dec. 31, 2009
	(unaudited)	
Reclamation and remediation liability beginning of period	\$ 8,166	\$ 7,526
Accretion expense, year to date	<u>347</u>	<u>640</u>
Ending balance	<u>\$ 8,513</u>	<u>\$ 8,166</u>

(b) Kennecott Royalty Option

Kennecott has the right to acquire a 2% net smelter return royalty from the sale of metals from a defined area of the Company's Rock Creek property at any time until the later of: (1) one year after the Rock Creek Project achieves 80% of designed commercial capacity production or (2) December 31, 2015. Kennecott is required to surrender the 2,250,000 common shares of the Company previously issued to Kennecott in order to acquire this royalty. The royalty terminates upon Kennecott's recovery of \$8 million in total royalty payments, plus an adjustment related to changes in the consumer price index.

(c) Rock Creek Development

On March 29, 2010, the US District Court ruled that the Forest Service's decision to approve the Rock Creek project is vacated and the 2003 Record of Decision and 2001 Final Environmental Impact Statement are set aside and remanded to the Forest Service for further action. The Company is in the process of completing a thorough review of the Court's decision but based on the Company's preliminary review of the judgment, the Company does not believe that the judgment prevents the Company from developing the Rock Creek project but it may delay such development until the Company is able to satisfactorily address the Court's concerns.

8. Financial Instruments

At June 30, 2010, the Company has forward contracts to sell 4.6 million pounds of copper at a weighed average price of \$3.12 per pound and 0.5 million ounces of silver at a weighed average price of \$17.54 per ounce. These contracts mature at various dates from July 31, 2010 to February 2012. All contracts are with the Company's customer and have been designated as normal purchase and sale contracts. Accordingly, the effect of these contracts are accounted for in the period they are settled. The fair value of these forward sales contracts, if settled at June 30, 2010 metal prices, would result in a gain of approximately \$0.2 million. During the three months

Revelt Minerals Inc.**Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements****For the Six and Three months ended June 30, 2010**

(Expressed in United States dollars, unless otherwise stated)

(Tabular amounts expressed in thousands of United States dollars, except share and per share amounts)

unaudited

ended June 30, 2010, the Company and its primary concentrate customer agreed to financially settle forward contracts of 1.3 million pounds of copper and use the notional proceeds on settlement to repay a portion of the note payable owing to the customer. The Company recognized a gain of \$0.7 million on the settlement of the forward contract which is reflected in revenue.

9. Reconciliation to United States Generally Accepted Accounting Principles

The consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with Canadian GAAP, which differ in certain material respects from those principles that the Company would have followed had its consolidated financial statements been prepared in accordance with US GAAP.

The effect of the material measurement differences between Canadian GAAP and US GAAP on the amounts reported in the consolidated balance sheets, statements of operations and cash flows are as follows:

	June 30, 2010	December 31, 2009
Total assets under Canadian GAAP	\$ 70,230	\$ 67,700
Adjustment to property, plant and equipment for acquisition of non-controlling interest (e)	3,552	3,767
Unamortized finance costs (f)	283	401
Total assets under US GAAP	\$ 74,065	\$ 71,868
Liabilities and non-controlling interest under Canadian GAAP	\$ 18,873	\$ 18,719
Unamortized finance costs (f)	283	401
Total liabilities under US GAAP	\$ 19,156	\$ 19,120
Temporary equity - shares redeemable at option of holder (a)	676	676
Total liabilities and temporary equity	\$ 19,832	\$ 19,796
Shareholders' equity under Canadian GAAP	\$ 51,357	\$ 48,981
Shares redeemable at option of holder	(676)	(676)
Adjustment to contributed surplus for acquisition of non-controlling interest	2,473	2,473
Adjustment to deficit for additional depreciation and income taxes (e)	1,079	1,294
Total shareholders' equity under US GAAP	\$ 54,233	\$ 52,072

Revett Minerals Inc.**Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements****For the Six and Three months ended June 30, 2010**

(Expressed in United States dollars, unless otherwise stated)

(Tabular amounts expressed in thousands of United States dollars, except share and per share amounts)

unaudited

	Three month period ended June 30, 2010 (unaudited)	Three month period ended June 30, 2009 (unaudited)	Six month period ended June 30, 2010 (unaudited)	Six month period ended June 30, 2009 (unaudited)
Net loss and comprehensive loss under Canadian GAAP	\$ (1,016)	\$ (1,024)	\$ (103)	\$ (1,884)
Depreciation (e)	(125)	(140)	(215)	(140)
Income tax recovery	-	365	-	365
Net loss and comprehensive loss under US GAAP	\$ (1,141)	\$ (799)	\$ (318)	\$ (1,659)
Basic loss per share	\$ (0.01)	\$ (0.01)	\$ (0.00)	\$ (0.02)

There are no material differences between Canadian GAAP and US GAAP with respect to total operating, financing or investing cash flows in the consolidated statements of cash flows.

(a) Redeemable shares:

The Company had issued 2,250,000 common shares in a prior period which are redeemable, at the option of the holder, into a net smelter return royalty as described in note 7(b). Under Canadian GAAP, the equity portion of these shares would be classified as equity. Under US GAAP, the full value associated with the redeemable shares is classified as temporary equity.

(b) Income taxes:

For Canadian GAAP purposes, future income tax assets and liabilities are calculated based on substantially enacted tax rates in effect in the periods when the temporary differences are expected to reverse. For US GAAP purposes, enacted tax rates are used to calculate future income tax assets and liabilities. For all periods presented, there were no differences between the tax rates used for Canadian and US GAAP purposes.

The Company has reviewed its income tax positions in its tax filings and, based on its review, the Company does not believe that any income tax positions taken are subject to material uncertainty if reviewed by the Internal Revenue Service or Canada Revenue Agency. In cases where the Company is charged interest and penalties on uncertain tax positions which do not meet the recognition criteria, the Company includes these in interest expense and other operating expenses respectively. Tax years subsequent to December 31, 2004 remain open for examination by the Internal Revenue Service and Canada Revenue Agency. However, in both jurisdictions, if the Company utilizes tax loss carry forwards in the future, those losses can be challenged in the year they are used even though the tax year in which they were incurred is statute barred.

(c) Common share units:

Under Canadian GAAP, the proceeds received on issuance of units, consisting of common shares and warrants, are not required to be allocated to the individual common share and warrant

Revelt Minerals Inc.**Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements****For the Six and Three months ended June 30, 2010**

(Expressed in United States dollars, unless otherwise stated)

(Tabular amounts expressed in thousands of United States dollars, except share and per share amounts)

unaudited

components when the instrument and its components are all determined to be equity instruments. Under US GAAP, the Company is required to allocate the proceeds received on unit offerings to the individual common share and warrant components on a relative fair value basis when both components are determined to be classified as equity. Accordingly, under US GAAP, share capital would be reduced and deficit as at June 30, 2010 would be each increased by \$1.0 million respectively to reflect the relative fair values of the shares and warrants. As of June 30, 2010, none of the warrants have been exercised and the warrants have expired.

(d) Stock option plan and compensation expense:

As at June 30, 2010 the weighted average intrinsic value of options outstanding and exercisable was \$1.0 million (2009 -\$1.1 million).

As at June 30, 2010 the total unrecognized compensation cost related to unvested stock options is nil.

(e) Non-controlling interest:

For US GAAP purposes, non controlling interest is classified as equity whereas for Canadian GAAP, it is classified between liabilities and equity. Also, loss before non-controlling interest, non-controlling interest and net loss for US GAAP purposes would be labeled net loss, net loss attributable to non-controlling interest and net loss attributable to the Company, respectively.

The acquisition of the non-controlling interest during the three year ended December 31, 2009 would be accounted for as an equity transaction for US GAAP purposes rather than a step acquisition using the purchase method. Accordingly, for US GAAP purposes, a reduction of mineral property, plant and equipment of \$4.1 million and a reduction of the future income tax liability of \$1.6 million recorded for Canadian GAAP purposes would not be made under US GAAP and instead \$2.5 million would be included in contributed surplus. In addition, under US GAAP, additional depreciation expense of \$0.1 million (2009 - \$0.1 million), and \$0.2 million (2009 - \$0.1 million) for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, respectively, was recognized.

The following table shows the changes in the non-controlling interest under US GAAP:

	June 30, 2010	December 31, 2009
Balance, beginning of period	\$ -	\$ 5,253
Loss attributable to non-controlling interest		(391)
Acquisition of non-controlling interest	-	(4,862)
Balance, end of period	\$ -	\$ -

Revett Minerals Inc.
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements
For the Six and Three months ended June 30, 2010
(Expressed in United States dollars, unless otherwise stated)
(Tabular amounts expressed in thousands of United States dollars, except share and per share amounts)
unaudited

The following table shows, using US GAAP measurements, the effect of changes to the Company's interest in Revett Silver on shareholders' equity attributable to the Company:

	June 30, 2010	December 31, 2009
Net loss under US GAAP attributable to the Company	\$ (318)	\$ (3,673)
Increase in contributed surplus on acquisition of non-controlling interest	-	2,473
Change from loss attributable to the Company and acquisition of non-controlling interest	\$ (318)	\$ (1,200)

(f) Debt finance costs

Under Canadian GAAP, costs incurred in connection with the issue of debt are netted against the related debt and amortized using the effective interest rate method. For US GAAP these cost are also amortized using the effective interest rate method but the unamortized costs would be presented as an asset on the balance sheet.

10. Subsequent Events

On August 6, 2010, the Company entered into a Subscription Agreement to issue Cdn\$3.0 million in units ("Units") of the Corporation at a price of Cdn\$0.28 per Unit, each Unit to be comprised of one common share of the Company and one-half of one common share purchase warrant, with each one warrant entitling the holder thereof to purchase one common share at a price of Cdn\$0.35 per share until 36 months from the closing date. On August 16, 2010, the Company received Cdn \$3.0 million as a result of this private placement of its Units.

Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations as at August 16, 2010

This Management's Discussion and Analysis ("MD&A") of the financial results of Revett Minerals Inc. ("Revett Minerals" or the "Company") for the three month and six month periods ended June 30, 2010 should be read in conjunction with the unaudited consolidated interim financial statements and notes as at June 30, 2010 which form part of this report. In addition, this MD&A and related financial statements should be read in conjunction with the 2009 audited annual consolidated financial statements, the related Management's Discussion and Analysis, and the Form 10-K filed in Canada on SEDAR or on file in the United States with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") or on EDGAR. These financial statements are expressed in United States dollars, unless otherwise stated, and they are prepared in accordance with Canadian generally accepted accounting principles. Material differences between Canadian and US GAAP are disclosed in note 9 of the unaudited consolidated interim financial statements.

Some of the statements in this MD&A are forward looking statements that are subject to risk factors set out in the cautionary note contained in this MD&A.

Overview and Important Factors Influencing Results for the Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2010

As at August 13, 2010, the Company's principal assets consisted of a 100% interest in the Troy copper and silver mine ("Troy") in northwest Montana, USA and also a 100% interest in the undeveloped Rock Creek copper and silver development project ("Rock Creek") also located in northwest Montana.

Overall Performance

For the six month period ended June 30, 2010, Revett Minerals reported a net loss after taxes of \$0.1 million or \$0.00 per share compared to net loss after taxes and non-controlling interest of \$1.9 million or \$0.02 per share for the six months ended June 30, 2009. The primary reason for the loss in the first half of 2010 was lower than planned ore grades in the second quarter, which also caused mill recoveries to be lower than plan. Less tons of higher grade ore were mined than planned from the East Ore Body due to ongoing access development work in that area. Production was mainly from the Lower Quartzite areas which is also lower grade. By quarter end, mining had resumed in the East Ore Body and metal grades are expected to return to normal levels in the third quarter. Copper ore grades were 10% lower and silver grades were 25% lower when compared to the first six months of 2009. The lower metals production was offset by higher metals pricing. The average LME copper price was \$3.23 per pound and silver's average price was \$17.62 per ounce during the first six months of 2010 compared to \$1.83 per pound for copper and \$13.17 per ounce of silver in 2009. Total revenues were \$21.4 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010 compared to \$16.9 million for the same period in 2009.

The Company continued with its efforts to develop access to the C Bed mine area, which contains higher ore grades, and continued with exploration efforts which resulted in some very encouraging drill results.

For the three months ended June 30, 2010, the Company reported a net loss after taxes of \$1.0 million or \$0.01 per share compared to a net loss of \$1.0 million or \$0.01 per share for the three

months ended June 30, 2009. Lower metal production resulted in lower copper and silver sales. The lower metals production was more than offset by higher metal prices as copper's average price was \$3.19 per pound and silver's average price was \$18.32 during the second quarter of 2010 compared to \$2.11 per pound of copper and \$13.73 per ounce for silver in the second quarter of 2009. Total revenues were \$9.3 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010 compared to \$8.6 million for the same period in 2009.

Results of Operations for the Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2010 Compared to the Same Period in 2009.

The major highlights for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, included:

- *Troy continued its productivity gains through the period averaging 3,982 tpd and 4,123 tpd for the 2nd quarter and 1st half of 2010, respectively, compared to 3,932 tpd and 3,871 tpd in the 2nd quarter and 1st half of 2009;*
- *Despite record low average head grades in the 2nd quarter (0.70opt Ag and 0.39% Cu), Troy generated \$1.3 million and \$2.2 million of cash flow from operations in the 2nd quarter and 1st half of 2010, respectively;*
- *For the six month period ended June 30, 2010, the Company recorded sales of \$21.4 million compared to revenues of \$16.9 million for the six months ended June 30, 2009;*
- *On a stand alone basis, Troy's net income before tax was \$2.6 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010;*
- *Exploration drilling discovered the highest grade intercept yet in the "I-Beds" (24 feet of 1.99 ounce per ton silver and 0.43% copper) beneath the current mine workings;*
- *Good progress was made on development of the haulage ramp to access the higher grade C Bed area with 1,722 feet or 57% completed by the end of June.*

Concentrate sales during the second quarter of 2010 consisted of 1.8 million pounds of copper and 191,312 ounces of silver compared to 2.2 million pounds of copper and 271,593 ounces of silver during the second quarter of 2009.

Operating Results:

The table below illustrates certain key operating statistics for Troy for the three months ended June 30, 2010, with a comparison to the same three month period in 2009.

	<u>Three Months Ended June 30, 2010</u>	<u>Three Months Ended June 30, 2009</u>
Tons milled	354,359	349,925
Tons milled per day	3,982	3,932
Copper grade (%)	0.33	0.39
Silver grade (opt)	0.70	1.01
Copper recovery (%)	81.0	84.0
Silver recovery (%)	84.0	86.0
Copper produced (lbs)	1,888,935	2,284,770
Silver produced (ozs)	207,948	301,770

Production during the second quarter of 2010 was improved at 3,982 tons per day, a 1% improvement over the second quarter of 2009 at 3,932 tons per day. The increase in tons mined was offset by lower ore grades mined as the copper grade was 16% lower in 2010 compared to the same period in 2009. Silver grade was 30% lower in the second quarter of 2010 compared to

the same period in 2009. Mill recoveries remained good but slightly lower than plan due to lower grades and a higher percentage of non-sulfide ores milled at 84% for silver and 81% for copper compared to expected recoveries of 86.9% for silver and 84.7% for copper. The table below illustrates the variation of operating costs per ton milled.

	Q2.10	Q1.10	Q4.09	Q3.09	Q2.09	Q1.09
Tons milled	354,359	379,592	345,586	302,543	349,925	339,171
Cost per ton milled (\$)	23.49	22.99	23.71	25.03	21.89	21.90

Financial Results for the three months ended June 30, 2010:

- a) *Revenue:* For the second quarter of 2010 compared to the second quarter of 2009, revenue increased from \$8.5 million to \$9.3 million primarily due to the increase in the prices of copper and silver and due to the financial settlement of a forward sales contract of \$0.7 million. During the quarter ended June 30, 2010, the LME prices of copper and LME silver averaged \$3.19 per pound and \$18.32 per ounce, respectively, compared to average prices of \$2.11 per pound and \$13.73 per ounce, respectively in the second quarter of 2009.
- b) *Cost of Goods Sold:* The cost of goods sold associated with the second quarter revenue was \$8.6 million, an increase of \$0.6 million over the same period in 2009. The main reasons for the increase in cost of sales was additional ore tons milled which resulted in a \$0.1 increase, higher labor costs due to reinstating the labor rates to 100% (during 2009 pay rates were reduced by 10% to conserve cash), and higher diesel fuel and consumables prices.
- c) *Depreciation and depletion:* For the second quarter of 2010, these non cash charges are basically the same as the second quarter of 2009. The majority of the plant and equipment at Troy is depreciated using the units-of-production method.
- d) *Exploration and development:* This expense increased by \$0.1 million because of the Company's renewed efforts to increase ore reserves at the Troy mine. Spending at Rock Creek did not change in 2010 due to efforts to conserve cash.
- e) *General and administration costs:* The decrease in corporate administration costs in the second quarter of 2010 over the comparable quarter in 2009 was mainly due the timing of recording the stock option expense (the Company recorded stock option expense in the second quarter of 2009 and incurred a minor stock option expense in the second quarter of 2010) and efforts to reduce all spending in order to conserve cash.
- f) *Accretion of reclamation and remediation liability:* This expense is a marginal increase in the reclamation accretion at Troy, which increases as the amount of the liability increases.
- g) *Income (loss) from operations:* The higher cost of sales more than offset the increase in revenues. Revenues were \$0.7 million higher in 2010 compared to the same period in 2009 while costs increased by \$0.6 million. The net result was the Company recording \$1.0 million in loss from operations compared to a loss from operation in 2009 of \$1.0 million.

h) Net earnings: The Company recorded net loss of \$1.0 million or \$0.01 per share for the second quarter compared to a net loss of \$1.0 million or \$0.01 per share in the second quarter of 2009.

Summarized Financial Results by Quarter

	2010	2010	2009	2009	2009	2009	2008	2008
	Q2	Q1	Q4	Q3	Q2	Q1	Q4	Q3
Cu Production (million lbs)	1.9	2.5	2.0	1.9	2.4	2.3	2.7	2.5
Ag Production (000's ozs)	208	287	253	251	302	321	380	302
Total Sales (000's \$)	9,322	12,127	8,141	8,106	8,590	8,340	4,113	8,286
Net Income (loss) (000's \$)	(1,016)	912	(1,738)	(1,346)	(1,024)	(859)	(5,193)	(2,980)
EPS- Basic (\$)	(0.01)	0.01	(0.02)	(0.01)	(0.01)	(0.01)	(0.07)	(0.04)
EPS- Fully diluted (\$)	(0.01)	0.01	(0.02)	(0.01)	(0.01)	(0.01)	(0.07)	(0.04)
Cash and Cash Equivalents & s/t Investments ending (000's \$)	3,150	3,730	2,622	2,515	3,256	973	1,633	11,479
Total Assets ending (000's \$)	70,230	70,776	67,700	69,792	71,142	71,071	77,942	90,187
Total liabilities ending (000's \$)	18,873	18,456	18,719	20,037	21,655	20,826	24,492	28,943
Total Equity ending (000's \$)	51,357	52,320	48,981	49,058	48,959	49,270	48,197	53,306

Operating Results for the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009:

The table below illustrates certain key operating statistics for Troy (100% basis) for the six months ended June 30, 2010, with a comparison to the same six month period in 2009.

	<u>Six Months Ended June 30, 2010</u>	<u>Six Months Ended June 30, 2009</u>
Tons milled	733,951	689,096
Tons milled per day	4,123	3,871
Copper grade (%)	0.36	0.39
Silver grade (opt)	0.70	1.04
Copper recovery (%)	83.0	85.0
Silver recovery (%)	86.0	86.0
Copper produced (lbs)	4,345,125	4,734,308
Silver produced (ozs)	495,207	622,919

Production during the first half of 2010 was much improved at 4,123 tons per day, a 7% improvement over the first half of 2009 at 3,871 tons per day. The increase in tons mined was offset by lower silver grade at 0.70 ounces per ton and lower copper grades of .36% per ton of ore resulting in lower metal production of 495,207 ounces of silver and 4,345,125 pounds of copper as compared to 622,919 ounces of silver and 4,734,308 pounds of copper in 2009. Copper ore grades remain slightly lower than estimated life of mine averages due to mining more quantity of ore from the lower grade area known as the lower quartzite.

Financial Results for the six months ended June 30, 2010:

- a) *Revenue:* For the first half of 2010 compared to the first half of 2009, while metals production was down, revenue increased from \$16.9 million to \$21.4 million primarily due to the increase in the price of copper and silver and due to the financial settlement of a forward sales contract of \$0.7 million. During the six months ended June 30, 2010, the LME price of copper and silver averaged \$3.19 per pound and \$18.32 per ounce, respectively, compared to average prices of \$2.11 per pound and \$13.73 per ounce, respectively in the first half of 2009.
- b) *Cost of Goods Sold:* The cost of goods sold associated with the first half 2010 revenue was \$ 17.4 million, an increase of \$1.3 million (an 8% change) over the same period in 2009. The main reasons for the increase in the first half of 2010 were increased ore tons milled (a 7% increase in tons milled resulting in approximately \$1.0 million in higher costs), higher labor costs as the labor rates were increased by 10%, and higher consumable prices (steel, diesel fuel and transportation).
- c) *Depreciation and depletion:* For the first half of 2010, these non cash charges were basically the same as the first half of 2009.
- d) *Exploration and development:* This expense increased by \$0.1 million because the Company has elected resume an exploration program on the Troy property. Spending at Rock Creek was basically the same in 2010 as in 2009 due to efforts to conserve cash.
- e) *General and administration costs:* The increase in corporate administration costs of \$0.6 million in the first half of 2010 over the comparable period in 2009 was mainly due to the expensing of stock options issued to the Company's employees (\$0.9 million non cash stock option expense was recorded in the first half of 2010).

- f) *Accretion of reclamation and remediation liability:* This expense is a marginal increase in the reclamation accretion at Troy which increases as the liability increases.
- g) *Income (loss) from operations:* The higher metal pricing more than offset the decrease in metals production during the six months ended June 30, 2010. Revenues were \$4.5 million higher in the first half of 2010 compared to the same period 2009. However, costs increased by \$2.0 million. The net result was the Company recording \$0.2 million in income from operations compared to a loss from operation in 2009 of \$2.4 million.
- h) *Other Expenses:* There was a \$0.03 million foreign exchange loss on the cash and investments held in Canada and denominated in Canadian dollars and net interest expense of \$0.2 million in the first half of 2010.
- i) *Income taxes:* For the six month period ended June 30, 2009, the Company reported an income tax expense of \$0.04 million which arose from the recognition of certain deferred tax assets, principally net operating loss carry forwards, that were not previously recognized and the on-going amortization of the fair market purchase price adjustment to property, plant and equipment. As the Company's future income tax liability has been eliminated through various transactions and it is not "more likely than not" that the benefit of the Company's tax assets will be realized, no future tax assets have been recognized.
- j) *Non-controlling interest:* During the fourth quarter of 2009 the Company acquired 100% of its' subsidiary Revett Silver Company, and the Company no longer has a non-controlling interest.
- k) *Net earnings:* The Company recorded net loss of \$0.08 million or \$0.00 per share for the first half of 2010 compared to a net loss of \$1.9 million or \$0.02 per share in the first half of 2009.

Financing Activities

During the first six months of 2010 the Company entered into a capital lease for the purchase of three tractors with a value of \$ 0.05 million which is being financed over a three year period. Revett Silver had entered into the following contractual financial obligations (in thousands of USD):

Contractual obligation	Total	Current portion	1 to 3 years	3 to 5 years	5 years or more
Note payable	2,142	1,000	1,142	-	-
Capital lease obligations	1,415	609	806		
Long term Reclamation costs	<u>12,900</u>	=	=	=	<u>12,900</u>
Total contractual obligations	<u>16,457</u>	<u>1,609</u>	<u>1,948</u>	=	<u>12,900</u>

Revett Silver has also entered into three different operating leases for a total of 54 rail cars at an average cost of \$458 per car per month. The leases have different terms, the first lease for 33 cars expiring May 2011, the second lease for 15 cars expired in July 2010 and the final lease for 6 cars expires in September 2010.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

The Company's liquidity position is directly related to the level of concentrate production, cost of this production and the provisional and final prices received for the copper and silver in the concentrate that is sold. At June 30, 2010, working capital was \$0.3 million and cash and cash equivalents was \$3.2 million. At June 30, 2010, net concentrate receivable was \$1.8 million.

At today's copper and silver prices the Company is generating positive cash flow from operations. Declines in the price of copper and silver could erode the Company's cash and working capital position. Although the Company is currently investigating means of raising additional capital with potential lenders and investors, no assurance may be given if these efforts will prove successful. The Company does not have sufficient cash to undertake any major spending activities at Rock Creek at this time. For 2010, the Company has sold forward approximately 50% of copper production at an average price of \$2.93 per pound and approximately 25% of silver production at an average price of \$16.25 per ounce. For 2011, the Company has sold forward 1.3 million pounds of copper at an average price of \$3.60 per pound and 300,000 ounces of silver at an average price of \$18.40 per ounce

In January 2010, the Company completed a private placement of 3,613,899 shares of common stock and realized gross proceeds of approximately \$1.1 million.

Off Balance Sheet Arrangements

During 2002, Kennecott and Revett Silver agreed to amend the February 21, 2000 Asset Purchase and Sale Agreement pursuant to which Revett Silver acquired Kennecott's interest in Troy and Rock Creek. Among other things, the amendment granted Kennecott the right to acquire a 2% net smelter return royalty from the sale of metals from a defined area of the Company's Rock Creek property at any time until the later of: (i) one year after Rock Creek achieves 80% of designed commercial production capacity or, (ii) December 31, 2015. The amendment requires Kennecott to surrender 2,250,000 common shares of the Company previously issued for this royalty. The royalty terminates upon Kennecott's recovery of \$8 million in total royalty payments, plus an adjustment related to changes in the consumer price index.

The Company also has forward contracts to sell copper and silver at fixed prices which have been designated as normal purchase and sales contracts. The fair value of these contracts are not recognized in the balance sheet (see Financial instruments, hedging activities and other instruments).

Related Party Transactions

There were no related party transactions during the first six months of 2010.

Proposed Transactions

There are no proposed transactions as at June 30, 2010.

Principal Risks and Uncertainties

Revett Minerals is a speculative investment, for many reasons, and the following risk factors should be carefully considered in evaluating it. In addition, this report contains forward-looking

statements that involve known and unknown risks and uncertainties. These forward-looking statements include statements of our plans, objectives, expectations and intentions. Actual results could differ from those discussed in the forward-looking statements as a result of certain factors, including those set forth below. You should carefully consider the risks and uncertainties described below and the other information in this report before investing.

We may not be able to continue as a going concern. Our consolidated financial statements have been prepared on the basis that we will continue as a going concern. At June 30, 2010, we had working capital of approximately \$0.3 million, which may not be sufficient to meet our planned business objectives, specifically, we would not be able to proceed with the Rock Creek project. At current copper and silver prices the Company would be able to generate a positive cash flow from operations. Management recognizes that the Company will need to generate additional financial resources in order to meet its objectives. If the Company is not able to generate positive cash flows and profits or obtain adequate additional financing, it will be required to curtail operations and exploration activities. Furthermore, its inability to continue as a going concern would require it to restate its assets and liabilities on a liquidation basis, which could differ significantly from the going concern basis.

We have a limited operating history and had losses in prior years. We have been engaged in commercial mining operations at Troy for just over five years and have not yet attained a significant level of earnings. In 2009, we incurred a loss of \$5.0 million on \$29.5 million in revenues. In 2008, we incurred a loss of \$6.7 million on revenues of \$35.9 million. In 2007, we earned approximately \$0.9 million on revenues of approximately \$39 million. In 2006, we incurred a loss of approximately \$1.7 million on revenues of approximately \$31.4 million, and in 2005 we incurred a loss of approximately \$2.9 million on revenues of approximately \$21.1 million. Our loss in 2009 and 2008 was primarily a result of a rapid decrease in copper and silver pricing during the second half of 2008 and lower than expected metals production. Our losses in 2006 and 2005 were partially attributable to the fact that production levels at Troy had not attained projected levels due to geotechnical problems, a shortage of skilled employees, problems in obtaining necessary repair parts for its equipment, and other factors common to underground hard rock mining operations.

Environmental challenges could prevent us from ever developing Rock Creek. Our proposed development of Rock Creek has been challenged on environmental grounds by several regional and national organizations at various times subsequent to the Forest Service's issuance of an administrative record of decision approving our plan of operation in 2003. Some of these challenges are substantial and ongoing, and allege violations of the procedural and substantive requirements of a variety of federal and state laws and regulations pertaining to our permitting activities at Rock Creek, including ESA, NEPA, the 1872 Mining Law, the Federal Land Policy Management Act, the Wilderness Act, the National Forest Management Act, the Clean Water Act, the Forest Service Organic Act of 1897, and the Administrative Procedural Act. Although we have generally been successful in addressing most of the environmental challenges to our operations, we cannot predict with any degree of certainty how the pending challenges will be resolved. Rock Creek is potentially the more significant of our two mining assets. Continued court challenges to the record of decision and its accompanying biological opinion will inevitably delay us from proceeding with our planned development, and a successful challenge to either could prevent us from developing the project at all. If we are successful in defending these challenges, we still must comply with a number of requirements and conditions as development progresses, failing which we could be denied the ability to continue with our proposed activities at Rock Creek.

On March 29, 2010, the US District Court ruled that the Forest Service's decision to approve the Rock Creek Mine Project is vacated and the 2003 Record of Decision and 2001 Final Environmental Impact Statement are set aside and remanded to the Forest Service for further action consistent with the Court's opinion. The Company will complete a thorough review before discussing options with various agencies involved to determine the best course of action moving forward. The Company remains confident the Rock Creek project can be developed in an environmentally responsible manner and view the court's ruling as a step forward in the process.

Our reclamation liability at Troy could be substantial. In acquiring Troy, we agreed to indemnify ASARCO and hold it harmless from all of the liabilities associated with the reclamation, restoration and closure of the mine. This entailed our procurement of a \$12.9 million performance bond to ensure that sufficient funds would be available to meet these obligations. We are currently preparing a revised reclamation and restoration plan for Troy, which, when completed, may result in changes to the estimated reclamation and restoration costs and the amount of the performance bond. One of the key issues that has yet to be resolved is the extent to which we may be required to treat water from Troy after mining operations have ceased. Another issue is whether we are required to prepare and file an EIS in conjunction with any action taken with respect to our revised reclamation plan. We have advised the DEQ that we will fund the cost of an EIS, but believe the study should be postponed until such time as mining operations at Troy are actually projected to cease and more current information concerning the scope of any required remediation is known. We do not presently know whether our revised plan will actually result in increased reclamation and restoration costs at Troy. Laws governing the closure of mining operations in Montana have become more stringent since Troy was first placed into production, and in the case of Troy, could include provisions requiring us to perpetually treat all of the discharged water from the mine. These factors could result in the imposition of a higher performance bond. Further, our reclamation and restoration liability at Troy is not limited by the amount of the performance bond itself. The bond serves only as security for the payment of these obligations; any substantial increase in actual costs over and above the amount of the bond would necessarily be borne by the Company. Payment of such costs could have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial condition.

We presently do not have the financial resources to develop Rock Creek. At June 30, 2010 we had cash and cash equivalents and short term investments of approximately \$3.2 million. At this time we do not have sufficient cash to fund our evaluation program at Rock Creek and we do not have sufficient funds to allow us to develop the mine or begin mining operations should it prove feasible to do so. Moreover, we do not have any commitments for additional funding. The forecasted capital cost of constructing a mine at Rock Creek is currently estimated to be in the range of \$220 to \$270 million and could change materially.

Non-U.S. persons owning our stock could be subject to U.S. taxes if we are treated as a United States company. Section 7874 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the "Code"), provides that, under certain instances, a non-U.S. corporation such as Revett Minerals could be treated as a U.S. corporation for U.S. tax purposes. A consequence of this treatment for non-U.S. persons owning common stock of such a corporation is that they could be subject to U.S. tax on any gain they receive from the sale of such stock unless they qualify for a statutory exemption. Were these to occur, it is unlikely that this potential U.S. tax liability would be credited against the shareholder's tax liability in his or her country of domicile, meaning that the shareholder would likely suffer double taxation on any such gain. Management does not believe Revett Minerals will be treated as a U.S. corporation for tax purposes, but cannot offer any assurance that such treatment would not occur. There is presently uncertainty surrounding the interpretation of Section 7874. The Internal Revenue Service could challenge Revett Minerals' interpretation of the guidance that has been provided to date, or it could write implementing regulations that differ from that guidance.

We could be treated as a passive foreign income company for tax purposes. Section 1297 of the Code provides that a foreign corporation such as Revett Minerals may be a passive foreign investment company (“PFIC”) if (a) 75% or more of its gross income is passive income or (b) the average percentage of the corporation’s assets (by value) held by it during the taxable year that produce passive income or are held for the production of passive income is at least 50%. (For purposes of Section 1297, “passive income” could include income derived by Revett Minerals from the operations of its majority-owned Revett Silver subsidiary.) If a U.S. taxpayer shareholder receives an “excess distribution” from a PFIC, that distribution is generally subject to an interest charge unless the shareholder has elected to treat its interest in the corporation as an interest in a “qualified electing fund” or “QEF”, or makes an election to mark to market his or her PFIC stock at the close of each taxable year. An “excess distribution” for purposes of Section 1297 and regulations promulgated there-under is the amount of any current distribution that exceeds the normal level of a PFIC’s distributions. The purpose of the interest charge is to enable a recapture of the benefit of any U.S. income tax deferral to a U.S. shareholder while the investment income (presumably untaxed) was accumulated by the foreign investment company.

There are other formidable risks to mining. We are subject to all of the risks inherent in the mining industry, including industrial accidents, labor disputes, unusual or unexpected geologic formations, cave-ins, surface subsidence, flooding, power disruptions and periodic interruptions due to inclement weather. These risks could result in damage to or destruction of its mineral properties and production facilities, personal injury, environmental damage, delays, monetary losses and legal liability. We do not maintain insurance covering catastrophic liabilities and we do not expect to procure such insurance unless and until it is economically feasible to do so. In addition, we are subject to competition for new minerals properties, management and skilled miners from other mining companies, many of which have significantly greater resources than we do. We also have no control over changes in governmental regulation of mining activities, the speculative nature of mineral exploration and development, operating hazards, fluctuating metals prices, and inflation and other economic conditions.

Copper and silver prices fluctuate markedly. Our operations are significantly influenced by the price of copper and silver. Copper and silver prices fluctuate widely and are affected by numerous factors that are beyond our control, such as inflation, the strength of the United States dollar relative to foreign currencies, global and regional demand, commodity funds and speculators and the political and economic conditions of major producing countries throughout the world. Since 1990, world average copper prices fluctuated from a low of \$0.71 per pound in 2002 to a high of \$3.23 per pound in 2007, and world average annual silver prices fluctuated from a low of \$3.95 per ounce in 1992 to a high of \$17.62 per ounce in the first half of 2010.

Currency fluctuations will affect our competitiveness. The price of copper and silver are denominated in U.S. dollars even though most production originates in countries whose currencies are independently valued. Fluctuations in the value of the U.S. dollar relative to the values of these host country currencies could affect the competitiveness of our operations.

Accounting Changes

In 2010 the Company has changed the classification of treatment and transportation costs. These costs were previously netted against revenues but are now classified as cost of sales. The 2009 treatment and transportation costs of \$1.0 million and \$2.2 million for the three months and six months ended June 30, 2010 were reclassified to cost of sales. As a result, revenues and cost of

sales each increased by \$1.0 million and \$2.2 million, respectively, compared to amounts previously reported.

Future accounting changes

Canada's Accounting Standards Board has ratified a strategic plan that will result in Canadian GAAP, as used by publicly accountable enterprises, being fully converged with International Financial Reporting Standards ("IFRS") as issued by the International Accounting Standards Board over a transitional period. Full adoption of IFRS is required for all publicly accountable enterprises for fiscal years beginning on or after January 1, 2011. The Company is currently planning to adopt accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("US GAAP") as permitted by Canadian Securities regulatory authorities and adopt IFRS if and when IFRS and US GAAP converge. Material differences between Canadian GAAP and US GAAP and their impact on the consolidated financial statements are disclosed in note 9 to those financial statements.

Financial Instruments

Provisional pricing

The Company fair values the amount of silver and copper in concentrate for which final prices have not yet been determined. At each month end, the Company adjusts its revenue to account for expected future prices and the corresponding expected future revenue and cash flow. In order to do this, the Company must make estimates of the future prices expected to prevail when final settlement occurs. The Company uses Comex commodity futures market prices at each month end to estimate these expected prices. At June 30, 2010, the Company had 2,020,952 pounds of copper and 213,398 ounces of silver with prices not yet fixed. The fair value revenue adjustment as at June 30, 2010 was a loss of \$0.08 million and is recorded in accounts receivable at June 30, 2010 with the corresponding loss recorded in the statement of operations. Considerable judgment is required to interpret market data and to develop the estimates of fair value for future periods. Accordingly, the estimates presented herein are not necessarily indicative of the amounts the Company will realize in such future periods.

Hedging activities

At June 30, 2010, the Company had one forward contract to sell 1.8 million pounds of copper at a price of \$2.70 per pound between July 2010 and February 2011; one forward contract to sell a total of 0.8 million pounds of copper at a price of \$3.15 per pound between July 2010 and January 2011; one forward contract to sell a total of 0.8 million pounds of copper at a price of \$3.21 per pound between July 2010 and January 2011; one forward contract to sell a total of 1.3 million pounds of copper at a price of \$3.60 per pound between March 2011 and February 2012; one forward contract to sell 200,000 ounces of silver at a price of \$16.25 per ounce between July 2010 and February 2011; one forward contract to sell a total of 300,000 ounces of silver at a price of \$18.40 per ounce between March 2011 and February 2012. All contracts are with the Company's customer and have been designated as normal purchase and sale contracts. Accordingly, the effect of these contracts are accounted for in the period they are settled. The fair value of these forward sales contracts, if settled at June 30, 2010 metal prices, would result in a gain of approximately \$0.2 million.

Forward Looking Statements

Cautionary “Safe Harbor” Statement under the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. With the exception of historical matters, the matters discussed in this report are forward- looking statements that involve risk and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from projections or estimates contained herein. The words “believe”, “estimate”, “anticipate”, “expect”, and “project” and similar expressions are included to identify forward-looking statements. Such forward looking-statements include statements regarding future production levels and operating costs at the Troy mine, future levels of capital expenditures at both Troy and Rock Creek, the reserve and resource estimates at both Troy and Rock Creek, the adequacy of the financial resources and funds to cover operating and exploration costs at Troy and the cost of exploration at Rock Creek, the timing of certain litigation activities which have delayed exploration activities at Rock Creek, the adequacy of third party financing to complete certain corporate development activities, and the expectation that the Troy mine will be able to generate positive cash flow in future periods. Factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from these forward looking statements include, among others:

- changes in copper and silver prices;
- the operating performance of the Troy mine;
- geological conditions at the Troy mine;
- the need for copper concentrate by copper smelters and the costs associated with selling such concentrate to the smelters;
- the ability of the Company to complete exploration activities at the Rock Creek project;
- activities of certain environmental groups opposed to the Company’s activities in the United States;
- changes in the planned Rock Creek project parameters; changes in estimates of the reserves and resources at all the properties owned or controlled by the Company;
- economic and market conditions;
- future financial needs and the Company’s ability to secure such financing under reasonable terms and conditions;
- changes in federal or state legislation and regulations governing our operations and projects;
- risks of future unknown lawsuits respecting future planned activities on our projects or past activities by the Company.

as well as other factors described elsewhere in our annual Form 10-K and the various regulatory filings done with United States and Canadian and provincial regulatory bodies which are available in Canada at www.sedar.com or in the United States on EDGAR. Future events and actual results could differ materially from those set forth in, contemplated by, or underlying the forward-looking statements. Most of these factors are beyond our ability to predict or control. Future events and actual results could differ materially from those set forth in, contemplated by, or underlying the forward looking statements. We disclaim any obligation to update any forward-looking statement made here-in. Readers are cautioned not to put undue reliance on forward looking statements.

Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

Our earnings and cash flow are significantly affected by changes in the market price of copper and silver. The prices of both metals can fluctuate widely and are influenced by numerous factors

such as demand, production levels, the economic policies of central banks, producer and fund hedging, world political and economic events and the strength of the US dollar relative to other currencies. During the past eighteen years the average annual price of copper has ranged from a low of \$0.71 per pound to a high of \$3.23 per pound. Average annual silver prices over this same period have ranged from a low of \$3.95 per ounce to a high of \$15.02. Currently the prices for both metals are at or near \$3.38 per pound for copper and \$18.55 per ounce for silver. Should the price of copper or silver decline substantially, the value of Troy and Rock Creek could fall dramatically and the future operation of Troy and the future exploration and development at Rock Creek could both be at risk.

During the six months ended June 30, 2010, the Company delivered and sold 4.2 million pounds of copper and 455,342 ounces of silver. Based upon these metals sales levels, a \$0.50 change in the price of each metal would have changed revenue by \$2.3 million.

A substantial portion of the Company's cash and short term investments are invested in certificates of deposit or high quality government and corporate fixed income securities, all of which are denominated in US dollars. With the uncertainty in the financial markets the value of these fixed income securities could change materially. Approximately \$0.9 million of the Company's short term investments are in savings deposits by a major Canadian chartered bank and are denominated in Canadian dollars which exposes the Company to some foreign exchange risk.

Controls and Procedures

Management of the Company is responsible for adopting an internal control system that gives it and the board of directors reasonable assurance that the Company's financial statements present fairly its financial position and activities. Management is also responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures that provide reasonable assurance the material information concerning the Company and its consolidated subsidiaries is appropriately disclosed.

Disclosure Controls and Procedures. The Company's disclosure controls and procedures are designed to ensure that information the Company is required to disclose in its periodic reports and other information filed under the Securities Exchange Act, as amended ("the Exchange Act") is recorded, processed, summarized and accurately reported within the time periods prescribed by the Securities and Exchange Commission's rules. They include, without limitation, controls and procedures designed to ensure that such information is accumulated and promptly communicated to the Company's management, including its chief executive officer, its chief financial officer and other principal accounting officers, so such persons can make timely decisions regarding disclosure,

The Company evaluated the effectiveness of the design and operation of its disclosure controls and procedures as required by Exchange Act Rules 13 (a) - 15 (e) and 15 (d) - 15 (e). This evaluation was performed under the supervision and with the participation of its management, including the chief executive officer and its chief financial officer. Based upon this evaluation, the chief executive officer and the chief financial officer concluded that the design and operation of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures are effective as at March 31, 2010 to ensure that information required to be disclosed by us in reports that we file under the Exchange Act, is gathered, reported, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission's rules and forms and is accumulated and communicated to management of Revett Minerals, including the CEO and CFO, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure as specified under U.S. and Canadian securities laws.

Internal Controls over Financial Reporting. Management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Securities Act of 1934. Our internal control over financial reporting is designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of our financial reporting and preparation of our financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. Our internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that: pertain to the maintenance of records that in reasonable detail accurately and fairly reflect our transactions and disposition of assets; provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of our financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles and that receipts and expenditures of our assets are being made only in accordance with the authorizations of management and directors; and provided reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisitions, use or disposition of assets that could have a material effect on our financial statements. Because of inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions or that degree of compliance with the policies and procedures may deteriorate.

The Company has evaluated the effectiveness of the design internal controls over financial reporting and concluded they are designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements.

Changes in Internal Controls. During the first half of 2010, no changes occurred in the Company's internal control over financial reporting that have affected, or are reasonably likely to material affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

PART II: Other Information

Legal proceedings

There has been no material changes with regards to Legal proceedings which are reported in the December 31, 2009 Form 10-K.

Item #2: Unregistered sales of equity securities and Use of proceeds

Not Applicable

Item #3: Defaults Upon Senior Securities

Not Applicable

Item #4: Submission To A Vote of Securities Holders

Not Applicable.

Item #5: Other Information

Not Applicable

Item #6: Exhibits

(a) Exhibits:

31.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act

31.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act

32.1 Certification of the Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C., 1350 (Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act

32.2 Certification of the Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C., 1350 (Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

REVETT MINERALS INC.

Date: August 16, 2010

By: /s/John Shanahan
John Shanahan
President and Chief Executive Officer

Date: August 16, 2010

By: /s/Ken Eickerman
Ken Eickerman
Chief Financial Officer

EXHIBIT 31.1

CERTIFICATION

I, John Shanahan, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this form 10-Q of Revett Minerals Inc. (the “registrant”);
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant’s other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) for the registrant and internal control over financial reporting as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) for the registrant and have:
 - a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant’s disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant’s internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant’s most recent fiscal quarter that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant’s internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant’s other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant’s auditors and the audit committee of the registrant’s board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):

- a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
- b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involved management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: August 16, 2010

/s/ "John Shanahan"

John Shanahan
President and Chief Executive Officer

EXHIBIT 31.2

CERTIFICATION

I, Ken Eickerman, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this form 10-Q of Revett Minerals Inc. (the “registrant”);
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant’s other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) for the registrant and internal control over financial reporting as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) for the registrant and have:
 - a. Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - b. Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - c. Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant’s disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - d. Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant’s internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant’s most recent fiscal quarter that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant’s internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant’s other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant’s auditors and the

6. audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - a. All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - b. Any fraud, whether or not material, that involved management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: August 16, 2010

/s/ "Ken Eickerman"

Ken Eickerman
Chief Financial Officer

EXHIBIT 32.1

**CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350, AS ADOPTED
PURSUANT TO SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002**

In connection with the Quarterly Report of Revett Minerals Inc. (the "Company") on Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2010, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), each of the undersigned hereby certifies, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1350, as adopted pursuant to § 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that:

(1) The Report fully complies with the requirements of section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and

(2) The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and result of operations of the Company.

/s/ John Shanahan

John Shanahan
President and Chief Executive Officer
Date: August 16, 2010

A signed original of this written statement required by Section 906 has been provided to Revett Minerals Inc. and will be retained by Revett Minerals Inc. and furnished to the Securities and Exchange Commission or its staff upon request.

EXHIBIT 32.2

**CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350, AS ADOPTED
PURSUANT TO SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002**

In connection with the Quarterly Report of Revett Minerals Inc. (the "Company") on Form 10-Q for the period ended June 30, 2010, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), each of the undersigned hereby certifies, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1350, as adopted pursuant to § 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that:

(1) The Report fully complies with the requirements of section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and

(2) The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and result of operations of the Company.

/s/ Ken Eickerman

Ken Eickerman
Chief Financial Officer
Date: August 16, 2010

A signed original of this written statement required by Section 906 has been provided to Revett Minerals Inc. and will be retained by Revett Minerals Inc. and furnished to the Securities and Exchange Commission or its staff upon request.